



Published by the Press Publishing Company.

60 to 62 PARK ROW, New York.

FRIDAY EVENING, OCT. 27.

SUBSCRIPTIONS TO THE EVENING WORLD (including postage):

PER MONTH.....30c.

PER YEAR.....\$3.50

Vol. 34.....No. 11,756

Entered at the Post Office at New York as second-class matter.

BRANCH OFFICES:

WORLD UPTOWN OFFICE—197 Broadway,

between 1st and 2nd sts., New York.

WORLD HARLEM OFFICE—121st st. and Mad-

ison ave.

BROOKLYN—420 Washington st.

PHILADELPHIA, Pa.—Lodge Building, 113 South

6th st. WASHINGTON—101 14th st.

THE WORLD'S GROWTH.

Daily Average Circulation

for

First Nine Months

of

1893 --- 398,738

1892 --- 380,567

GAIN PER DAY,

18,171.

Mr. Myers is keeping his powder dry.

"Consolidation strides on." Get in

step!

Gov. Flower was out with more than

a D-for votes at last night's tiger

rally.

Counting votes will be an agreeable

change from counting quorums in the

Senate.

Tom Gould is reported as "laying low."

The police suspect that he is perma-

nently laid aside.

It is a pity that all the Senators cannot

not appreciate how much more than

enough has been said.

Park Commissioner Dana is right on

the people's side in his demand for that

pathway by the river's side.

The country can thoroughly appre-

ciate how grateful a rest will be to

Congress after the weary repeal cam-

paign is over.

That North River bridge has ac-

quired a good place in the Senate calen-

dar. It needn't be far behind repeal in

its final passage.

However it may be with New York's

tiger-hunt, the lion-baiting of these two

days has certainly not been lacking in

exciting incidents.

There is a marked consolidation of popu-

lar sentiment for annexation in the

municipalities included in the "Greater

New York" plans.

Mr. Brookfield's State Committee in-

dicates that the Van Alens of the Repub-

lican party are not responding promptly

at this period of few friends and great

calls.

It seems highly probable that though

young Mr. Divver smiled and smiled, he

was a fance stiff. Though the Fourth

Ward was left guessing, it wasn't left

much in doubt.

It was kind of Senator Sherman to

drag that bond bugaboo out of the up-

per chamber at Washington. Nothing

more left there which may have

tendency to frighten repeal back into a

hole.

There is kicking enough in football.

No excuse is presented for the introduc-

tion of crowding. Get together, college

boys, and don't shut out any univer-

sity's good eleven by unnecessary and

arbitrary rules.

The bills of lading for that "World"

special train for the Southern sufferers

will be matter-of-fact statements, but

they will cover a vast depth of human

kindness and brotherly sympathy.

Have you given your share yet? be

entered in the general accounting?

Mr. Myers knows "the average citizen"

well. It has been a noticeable fact that

writes in his letter of declination, "that

in the so-called 'independent movements'

in the political history of this city that

whenever they have been attended with

ill-success, their failure has been largely

attributed to widespread apathy or in-

difference on the part of the average citi-

zen." No truer words were ever writ-

ten. But upon the forcible coming forth

of this "average citizen," some day, from

his state of apathy, or of indifference,

or of hopelessness, or of helplessness,

depends the raising of the city govern-

ment away from its average standard of

to-day.

When the question of the certificates

of nomination of James A. More-

head, in the Eleventh Assembly District,

and Walter W. Bahan, in the Ninth

District, came up before the Board of

Police Commissioners yesterday, Presi-

dent James J. Martin, Tammany, made

motions rejecting the certificates, on

the ground of invalidity. Commissioner

Sheehan, Tammany, voted in favor of

the motions. Commissioner MacLean

Independent Democrat, voted against

them. The motions were declared

adopted by a vote of two yeas and one

nay. If Commissioner McEave had

been present and had voted with Mr.

MacLean, President Martin's motions

would both have been lost by a tie vote.

Of course, no one will suspect that Com-

missioner McEave was absent from the

meeting designedly, by a prearrange-

ment with his associates, Martin and

Sheehan, so that the motions to reject

the certificates might prevail. But then

—well, well!

THE MAYNARD ISSUE.

The Democratic nominee for Judge of

the Court of Appeals has some vigorous

opponents among the judges of the

Court. Perhaps no candidate ever before

offered himself for the suffrages of a

people—certainly none ever ran for a

high judicial position—whose character

and public services were painted in such

widely different colors by friend and

foe.

On one point, however, the defamers

and the eulogists of the much-discussed

Judge agree. It is conceded by all that

he was nominated by his party, as he

was previously appointed by Gov. Flower,

as a reward for the political serv-

ices rendered in the work of securing

a Democratic majority in the

State Senate elected in 1891.

His action at the time is, of course,

differently viewed. One side contends

that it fitted the Judge for State prison

stripes; the other insists that it entitled

him to the ermine. But all agree that

it is because of that action that he sat

on the Bench by appointment, and he

now seeks to retain his seat by

election.

Setting aside all consideration of the

character of Judge Maynard's act in

the matter of the Senatorial returns, is

it fitting that a Judge of the highest

court of the State, a court whose de-

cisions are final and irrevocable, as far

as the State laws are concerned, should

be bestowed as a reward for any politi-

cal, and especially for any partisan, serv-

ice? Is it not a fact that the render-

ing of a partisan service that gives a

questionable advantage to his party

should be taken as the very best evi-

dence that a man is unfit for a judicial

position?

In Judge Maynard's case there is

scarcely a pretense that his legal at-

tainments, or the bent and structure of

his mind, fit him for a judgeship of any

court, far less for a court of such high

standing as the Court of Appeals. Ap-

pears, no one would venture to assert

that he would ever have been thought

of for the position, or would have re-

ceived the appointment or the nomina-

tion, except as a reward for his serv-

iceable partisan zeal.

The fact is, however, that he is placed

on the Bench by the highest court of a

parliamentary system, and that he is

placed there by a party which has suc-

cessfully performed a questionable

political service for his party.

Such a use of our high judicial office

is not only a disgrace to the office, but

a disgrace to the State. It is a disgrace

to the people of the State, and it is a

disgrace to the country. It is a disgrace

to the history of the State, and it is a

disgrace to the future of the State.

It is a disgrace to the honor of the

State, and it is a disgrace to the

country. It is a disgrace to the

history of the State, and it is a

disgrace to the future of the State.

It is a disgrace to the honor of the

State, and it is a disgrace to the

country. It is a disgrace to the

history of the State, and it is a

disgrace to the future of the State.

It is a disgrace to the honor of the

State, and it is a disgrace to the

country. It is a disgrace to the

history of the State, and it is a

disgrace to the future of the State.

It is a disgrace to the honor of the

State, and it is a disgrace to the

country. It is a disgrace to the

history of the State, and it is a

disgrace to the future of the State.

It is a disgrace to the honor of the

State, and it is a disgrace to the

country. It is a disgrace to the

history of the State, and it is a

disgrace to the future of the State.

It is a disgrace to the honor of the

State, and it is a disgrace to the

country. It is a disgrace to the

history of the State, and it is a

disgrace to the future of the State.

It is a disgrace to the honor of the

State, and it is a disgrace to the

country. It is a disgrace to the

history of the State, and it is a

disgrace to the future of the State.

It is a disgrace to the honor of the

State, and it is a disgrace to the

country. It is a disgrace to the

history of the State, and it is a

disgrace to the future of the State.

It is a disgrace to the honor of the

State, and it is a disgrace to the

country. It is a disgrace to the

history of the State, and it is a

disgrace to the future of the State.

It is a disgrace to the honor of the

State, and it is a disgrace to the

country. It is a disgrace to the

history of the State, and it is a

disgrace to the future of the State.

It is a disgrace to the honor of the

State, and it is a disgrace to the

country. It is a disgrace to the

history of the State, and it is a

disgrace to the future of the State.

It is a disgrace to the honor of the

State, and it is a disgrace to the

country. It is a disgrace to the

history of the State, and it is a

disgrace to the future of the State.

It is a disgrace to the honor of the

State, and it is a disgrace to the

country. It is a disgrace to the

history of the State, and it is a

disgrace to the future of the State.

It is a disgrace to the honor of the

State, and it is a disgrace to the

country. It is a disgrace to the

history of the State, and it is a

disgrace to the future of the State.

It is a disgrace to the honor of the

State, and it is a disgrace to the

country. It is a disgrace to the

history of the State, and it is a

disgrace to the future of the State.

It is a disgrace to the honor of the

State, and it is a disgrace to the

country. It is a disgrace to the

history of the State, and it is a

disgrace to the future of the State.

It is a disgrace to the honor of the

State, and it is a disgrace to the

country. It is a disgrace to the

history of the State, and it is a

disgrace to the future of the State.

It is a disgrace to the honor of the

State, and it is a disgrace to the

country. It is a disgrace to the

history of the State, and it is a

disgrace to the future of the State.

It is a disgrace to the honor of the

State, and it is a disgrace to the

country. It is a disgrace to the

history of the State, and it is a

disgrace to the future of the State.

It is a disgrace to the honor of the

State, and it is a disgrace to the

country. It is a disgrace to the

history of the State, and it is a

disgrace to the future of the State.

It is a disgrace to the honor of the

State, and it is a disgrace to the

country. It is a disgrace to the

history of the State, and it is a

disgrace to the future of the State.

It is a disgrace to the honor of the

State, and it is a disgrace to the

country. It is a disgrace to the

history of the State, and it is a

disgrace to the future of the State.

It is a disgrace to the honor of the

State, and it is a disgrace to the

country. It is a disgrace to the

history of the State, and it is a

disgrace to the future of the State.

It is a disgrace to the honor of the

State, and it is a disgrace to the

country. It is a disgrace to the

history of the State, and it is a

disgrace to the future of the State.

It is a disgrace to the honor of the

State, and it is a disgrace to the

country. It is a disgrace to the

history of the State, and it is a